

situation is not one where the University has traded land to its benefit. The Committee member further opined that the University is holding its land in trust for future uses and users to come, land which abuts lakes and trails, and the University is well within its right to indicate to the property owners that it is not prepared to entertain an option of dealing with an encroachment of this nature in this fashion.

A Committee member queried the Committee about the University's history of land conveyance for encroachments generally, given that the Committee had dealt with an encroachment issue in the past year. The Executive Director, Facility Services, Mr. Brad Parkes, explained that in recent history, a conveyance was afforded in 2016 to the Idylwyld Country Club which involved three (3) encroachments, two (2) by Idylwyld and one (1) by the University. As a result, a land trade was negotiated, altering property lines to accommodate both parties.

A Committee member, in voicing opposition to the recommendation, reiterated the concerns already expressed with respect to creating a precedent for rectification of this particular type of encroachment, urging members to be mindful of future claims. They reminded Committee members of past discussions where land was a topic, and echoed that there are other avenues for the property owners to utilize to resolve the issue given that they are insured.

A Committee member commented that if the administration has determined that the land is not needed by the University, this request would be one way to rectify the encroachment. However, it is important to look at the past practice of the University as it relates to similar requests, if only to ensure consistency.

A Committee member commented that if there was no other alternative available for the property owners to rectify the encroachment but to request the conveyance, it might be something to consider in those circumstances.

A Committee member asked if the full 3,200 square feet is required or if any of that is excess. Ms. McAulay responded that the structures on the land are not permanent, so the land could theoretically be lessened.

A Committee added that there is also the possibility of the owners redeveloping that land, which would make Laurentian complicit in a project with a larger footprint.

RESOLUTION: Moved by Régent Dupuis and seconded by Blaine Nicholls.